ARTICLE

Reform of the water market: the Water Act 2014

On 14 May 2014 the Water Act 2014 (the "Act") received Royal Assent. The Act is designed to increase competition in the water market by (i) reforming the water and sewerage licensing regime; (ii) increasing access to, and interconnection within, the upstream market; and (iii) removing restrictions to competition in the non-household retail market.

INTRODUCTION

The Water Bill (the "Bill") was introduced to the House of Commons in June 2013, following the publication of the Cave Review of competition in the water market in 2009 and the Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs ("Defra")'s 'Water for Life' White Paper in 2011. The Act amends the Water Industry Act 1991 (the "WIA") and introduces changes to other legislation. The WIA sets out the regulatory, competition and consumer representation frameworks for the water sector in England and Wales.

The Act sets out to reform the water and sewerage markets by (i) expanding the water supply licensing regime while separating retail and wholesale functions; (ii) regulating access to water supplies from, and between, undertakers with new authorisations, charging rules and market codes; (iii) making it easier for new entrants to enter the upstream water market; (iv) removing restrictions on competition in the retail markets for non-household water supply and sewerage services; and (v) reforming the water-specific merger regime.

LICENSING REGIME

Expansion of water supply licensing regime

The Act expands and revises the Water Supply Licensing ("WSL") regime introduced in 2003, as a crucial part of the separation of wholesale functions, i.e. the abstraction, storage, treatment and distribution of water ("upstream"), from the retail market, i.e. the delivery of water to customers, metering, billing and customer services ("downstream"). The Act unbundles combined licences by allowing Ofwat
to grant licences that contain either wholesale or retail authorisations, or both. New entrants wishing to provide upstream services will no longer have to provide retail services.

UPSTREAM REFORMS

Charging rules and market codes
The Act sets out changes to the governance arrangements relating to undertakers’ charges, replacing the process by which individual companies would submit charge schemes for Ofwat’s approval. From now on, Ofwat will set charging rules with which all water companies must comply.

In addition to the new approach to charges, the Act gives Ofwat the power to issue market codes relating to water supply agreements, agreements to adopt infrastructure and the introduction of water into an undertaker’s supply system. The introduction of market codes will replace the prevailing practice by which new entrants were forced to agree prices with incumbent undertakers on an individual basis.

Bulk supply arrangements between undertakers
The UK water market suffers from a low volume of water trading and interconnection between undertakers at the level of the national supply network. The Act contains provisions to join up the network and make it easier for undertakers to buy and sell water upstream. Bulk supply arrangements and connections charges will be regulated by new charging rules and access codes approved by Ofwat aimed at increasing transparency and streamlining negotiations between undertakers.

DOWNSTREAM REFORMS

Retail supply
Under the current WSL regime, only large non-household customers consuming water over the current threshold may switch retail supplier. Only four customers in the English market have taken up this opportunity to date. By contrast, full non-household retail competition was introduced in the Scottish water market in 2008 and more than half of Scottish non-household customers have renegotiated the terms of their supply.

The Act increases choice in the retail market by enabling repeal of the current threshold for the application of the WSL regime in England. This will, when implemented, allow all business, charity and public sector customers to choose their water and sewerage supplier for the first time, and enable multi-site operators to tender for one supplier across England. The Government intends to open the retail market from April 2017. The current thresholds will continue to apply in Wales.

Companies with retail authorisations will be able to buy water or sewerage services from the incumbent undertakers and sell those services on to non-household customers. The Act establishes a general duty on the Secretary of State, Welsh Ministers and Ofwat to carry out their functions with a view to securing that undertakers do not show any undue preference or discrimination in the provision of services.

These changes in the retail market accompany the repeal of the ‘in-area trading ban’ for undertakers in the Enterprise and Regulatory Reform Act 2013, which prohibits a licensed water supplier set up by an undertaking from supplying water in the area covered by its associated company. Ofwat intends to remove the matching ban from supply licences by April 2015.
Introduction of sewerage licences
The Act creates a sewerage retail licensing regime that will apply in parallel to the WSL regime.

Retail exit
The Act authorises the Secretary of State to issue regulations governing exit from the non-household retail market ("retail exit") by water and sewerage undertakers that decide to focus on the upstream market. These measures were introduced to the Bill at a late stage after successful lobbying by Ofwat and other water sector stakeholders who considered that prohibiting exit from the market would impose additional costs on companies by requiring them to continue to provide retail services against a backdrop of consolidation. Water and sewerage undertakers will not be permitted to exit the retail market for household customers.

Cross-border jurisdiction
The Act allows the Secretary of State and the Scottish Ministers to issue regulations allowing for applications to Ofwat for a water supply or sewerage licence to be treated as an application for the equivalent services licence in Scotland, and vice versa in relation to applications to the Water Industry Commission for Scotland.

MERGER CONTROL

Water-specific merger regime
The Competition and Markets Authority ("CMA") is currently under a duty to make a mandatory Phase 2 reference of any anticipated or completed merger of two or more water enterprises where (i) the target water enterprise’s turnover exceeds £10 million; and (ii) the acquiring firm already owns at least one water enterprise with a turnover exceeding £10 million.

The Act introduces three new exceptions to the CMA's duty to refer: (i) where merger arrangements are not sufficiently advanced or unlikely to proceed, (ii) where the merger is not likely to prejudice Ofwat's ability to regulate the water market and (iii) where any likely prejudice of Ofwat's ability to regulate the water market is outweighed by customer benefits resulting from the merger. In the latter two instances, before making a decision, the CMA must request and consider Ofwat's opinion on these issues. In addition, the Act gives the CMA the power to accept undertakings in lieu of a reference in relation to water mergers, again, after requesting and reviewing Ofwat's opinion. Finally, it imposes a new duty on the CMA to keep under review and advise the Secretary of State on the turnover threshold at which it must refer any mergers between undertakers.

OTHER MEASURES

The Act extends the time limit for imposing financial penalties on an undertaker or licensee for a breach of appointment or licence condition or statutory obligation from one year to five years.

Ofwat has also been given a statutory duty to 'further the resilience objective'. Resilience in this context refers to the long-term resilience of undertakers’ supply and sewerage systems with regard to environmental pressures, population growth and changes in consumer behaviour. Ofwat now has a responsibility for encouraging undertakers to reduce unsustainable water abstraction in areas of stressed water supply by improved water resource management, increased grey water recycling and a greater willingness to trade bulk supply upstream.
NEXT STEPS

The Government and Ofwat are currently working on secondary legislation and guidance to implement the framework for change set out in the Act. For example, Ofwat is currently designing the new application procedure for water supply and sewerage licences and will consult on its proposals in due course. It also intends to launch a consultation on draft exit regulations before the end of 2014. The water companies that do not currently have separate retail and wholesale businesses will begin to separate these functions over the next year.
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Mergers

CMA PUBLISHED DECISIONS

1. **CMA publishes decision on Lafarge Tarmac Holdings’ acquisition of Tarmac Building Products** – The Competition and Markets Authority (“CMA”) has published the full text of its decision not to refer for a Phase 2 investigation Lafarge Tarmac Holdings Limited’s completed acquisition of Tarmac Building Products Limited. The two companies overlap in the downstream production of construction and building materials and specifically in the supply of screed, bagged aggregates, packed cement, packed cementitious products and packed lime. The CMA found that there were a number of alternative suppliers at both a national and local level as well as spare capacity within the downstream production of construction and building materials. Consequently, it concluded that the merger would not give rise to concerns regarding horizontal unilateral effects. The CMA also considered theories of harm in relation to input foreclosure strategies but found that the parties lacked: (i) the incentive to engage in such strategies in the supply of bulk cement and (ii) the ability to do so in the supply of bulk aggregates. (cabinet-office.gov.uk, 16 May 2014)

2. **CMA publishes final decision on the acquisition of F&C Asset Management by BMO Global** – The CMA published the full text of its decision not to refer the merger for a Phase 2 investigation F&C Asset Management Limited’s completed acquisition of BMO Global Asset Management. Although there is an overlap in the supply of active asset management services to institutions, the CMA considered that the low shares of supply of the parties, the lack of closeness of competition between them and the presence of significant competitors meant that the merger could not be expected to result in a substantial lessening of competition ("SLC") in a UK market. (cabinet-office.gov.uk, 19 May 2014).

3. **CMA publishes decision from OFT on the acquisition of Surgichem by Omnicell** – On 20 May 2014, the CMA published the full text of the ‘Office of Fair Trading’ ("OFT")’s decision to refer the Competition Commission ("CC") the proposed acquisition of Surgichem Limited by Omnicell/MTS Medication Technologies. The OFT concluded that the high combined market shares of the parties may allow the merged entity to increase prices and/or lessen product quality and/or service levels and that the acquisition therefore may be expected to lead to an SLC in the market for the supply of adherence products to non-tendering UK pharmacies. Countervailing factors were insufficient to allay the OFT’s concerns. (cabinet-office.gov.uk, 20 May 2014).

4. **CMA provisional view on Groupe Eurotunnel/SeaFrance merger and a potential material change of circumstances** – On 21 March 2014, the CC provisionally found that it does have jurisdiction to review the acquisition by Groupe Eurotunnel S.A ("Eurotunnel") and the workers’ co-operative formed by former SeaFrance employees ("SCOP") of a number of ferries of the now liquidated SeaFrance ferry as it had acquired an ‘enterprise’ for the purposes of the Enterprise Act 2002, as opposed to ‘bare assets’. This followed a remittal of the question to the CC by the Competition Appeal Tribunal ("CAT") in December 2013. Eurotunnel and SCOP had challenged the CC’s original decision of June 2013 before the CAT on a number of grounds, this jurisdictional point being the only ground on which they had succeeded. Given the time lapse since the original CC decision, the CMA (which took over from the CC on 1 April) also considered the question of whether there has been any material change of circumstances affecting this decision and the related remedies. The CMA has provisionally found that there has been no such change. Most notably, the loss-making competitor is still likely to exit the market. The CMA has therefore also provisionally concluded that the CC’s original remedies remain the most
appropriate. The CMA invites comments on these provisional views by 3 June 2014. (cabinet-office.gov.uk, 21 May 2014).

MONITOR

5. Monitor publishes next steps following consultation on NHS foundation trusts contemplating mergers – In January this year Monitor consulted the healthcare sector on a number of proposed changes to both how it works with NHS foundation trusts that are planning transactions and on its approach to transactions generally. Monitor has now published a document setting out the proposed changes, the feedback it received on them and the next steps. Monitor will establish a transactions team to provide support for trusts considering a merger or acquisition and will issue a range of guidance material in summer 2014. This will include: (i) a new, updated transactions guide, which will update and consolidate previous guidance on transactions, and (ii) a document (to be published jointly with the CMA) explaining the application of statutory merger control to NHS mergers. (www.monitor.gov.uk, 12 May 2014)

Market Investigations

6. Groceries Code Adjudicator launches survey regarding compliance with Groceries Supply Code of Practice – The Groceries Code Adjudicator (the “Adjudicator”) has launched a survey to assess how effectively retailers are complying with the Groceries Supply Code of Practice (the “Code”) and to assess the landscape and relationships between retailers and their suppliers. Other considerations are the sector’s future market expectations, knowledge of the Adjudicator and the Code and how the Code is applied by retailers. The survey is intended to be an annual measure to assess the impact of the Code and Adjudicator, the results of which will inform the Adjudicator of supply-side issues which may result in further action. The deadline for completing the survey is 23 May 2014. (www.gov.uk, 8 May 2014)

7. CMA announces work to review higher education sector – Following a report by the OFT on the operation of competition in the higher education sector recommending that the CMA design a regulatory regime to maximise competition, the CMA recently announced it has commenced work on this sector. The CMA expects the work to be completed by early 2015 following stakeholder engagement. (www.gov.uk, 20 May 2014, www.gov.uk/cma-cases, 20 May 2014).

Antitrust

8. CMA publishes revised form for reporting anti-competitive or market issues – The CMA has published a revised version of the form that should be used to report anti-competitive or market issues to the CMA. The revised version follows on from the first version published on 31 March 2014. The form is intended to be used for issues relating to a market not working well, unfair contract terms or any issues related to anti-competitive practices. Amongst other things, the revised form requests contact details, details of the issue and supporting documentation, classification of the market concerned, and details of any other organisations that have been contacted. (www.gov.uk, 13 May 2014)

9. High Court dismisses restitution claim for OFT fines by non-appealing claimants – The High Court has dismissed a claim for common law restitution by Lindum, Interserve and Willmott Dixon (the “Claimants”) after these parties were fined by the OFT for a Chapter 1 infringement in 2009 (the “Decision”). The OFT fined 103 construction companies a total of £129 million for their role in the rigging of tenders in the construction industry. Twenty five of the companies bound by the Decision appealed their penalties to the CAT using the statutory appeal process under section 46 of the
Competition Act 1998 (the “CA98”). They were successful in having their penalties reduced, after the OFT was found to have used an erroneous methodology in setting the levels of the penalties. The Claimants did not appeal the Decision. Following the CAT decision to reduce the appealing companies’ fines, the Claimants wrote to the OFT to have their penalties reduced, despite the fact they were out of time to appeal the penalties. After the OFT refused, the Claimants issued proceedings for restitution of the allegedly unlawfully levied penalties, arguing that the OFT had employed a generic methodology that applied to their penalties as much as it did to the appealing parties. The High Court ruled that the CA98 should be construed as implicitly providing that the statutory appeal provided for in the Act is the exclusive remedy by which a penalty may be challenged and that the Claimants are not able to challenge such a penalty through a common law claim for restitution. (www.bailii.org, 19 May 2014).

10. Ofwat consults on its acceptance of commitments by Bristol Water concerning its abuse of dominant position – On 22 May 2014, Ofwat announced that it would consult on its intention to accept commitments under section 31A of the Competition Act 1998 by Bristol Water plc (“Bristol Water”). This announcement followed Ofwat’s investigation between March and July 2013 into complaints alleging that Bristol Water was abusing its position of dominance as the undertaker for its area of appointment. Ofwat specifically investigated the price and non-price terms Bristol Water applied when providing services to self-lay organisations in the contestable market for new developments in the appointed area. Bristol Water offered to make changes to its structure and processes in response to the competition concerns raised by Ofwat, including a clearer separation of Bristol Water’s downstream developer services functions from its non-contestable upstream services. With services for new water connections infrastructure currently being one of the few areas of competition in the water and sewerage industry, Ofwat has signalled that maintaining a level playing field is strategically important, particularly in light of the expansion of competition heralded by the new Water Act 2014. Ofwat will consult on Bristol Water’s commitments until 18 July 2014. (www.ofwat.gov.uk, 22 May 2014)

11. Speech by CMA Chief Executive Alex Chisholm on the culture of compliance – On 16 May 2014, the CMA published a speech by its Chief Executive Alex Chisholm, which offered a perspective on the CMA’s plans to create a culture of compliance within businesses. After taking stock of the CMA’s work so far and re-iterating the priorities for the future, Mr Chisholm set out the CMA’s general objective to embed a culture of compliance in corporate strategy. He highlighted the inadequacy of deterrence alone and stressed the need to balance enforcement with compliance and awareness work. Mr Chisholm set out the CMA’s compliance strategy as follows: (i) using the launch of the CMA itself to draw attention to the need to comply with competition law; (ii) drawing enforcement outcomes to the attention of the parties who most need to be exposed to them so as to maximise deterrence and compliance impact; (iii) conducting further research into the area; and (iv) strengthening the mechanisms for engendering compliance. Mr Chisholm was keen to enlist the views and feedback of key stakeholders on this strategy. (www.gov.uk, 16 May 2014)

12. Speech by David Currie on the relationship between politics and the UK system of independent regulation – On 21 May 2014, CMA Chairman David Currie delivered a lecture on the UK system of independent regulation highlighting the threat that it is facing from political intervention. He explained that there is growing pressure on politicians to intervene in markets to suit various stakeholders, in the face of a lack of public faith in the efficiency of the operation of market forces. He discussed the role of the UK system of independent regulation in delivering benefits to consumers and highlighted the effectiveness of the UK competition regime. He cited
the beneficial reforms introduced with the passing of the Enterprise Regulatory and Reform Act 2013 (a government strategic steer, improved strength of the concurrency regime powers and the CMA's role as an advocate for competition within the Government). Mr Currie concluded with a discussion of the importance of the market investigations regime as a tool for the CMA to intervene in markets that are not delivering benefits to consumers. (www.gov.uk, 23 May 2014).

**Regulatory**

**ENERGY**

13. *Ofgem issues provisional order for Economy Energy regarding breach of standard licence conditions* – The Office of Gas and Electricity Markets (*"Ofgem"*) issued a provisional order under the Electricity Act 1989 and Gas Act 1986 addressed to energy supplier Economy Energy ("EE"). This followed a statutory notice issued on 25 March 2014 setting out Ofgem's intention to confirm the provisional order. The order requires EE to take measures to comply with certain provisions of the standard licence conditions and Gas and Electricity (Consumer Complaints Handling Standards) Regulations 2008 that it is alleged to have breached. Ofgem's concerns related to domestic customers who could be: (i) at risk of having electricity and/or gas supply terminated during cold weather and (ii) unable to switch away from EE to another supplier. (www.ofgem.gov.uk, 13 May 2014).

14. *Ofgem publishes final decision on Electricity Balancing Significant Code Review* – Ofgem has published its final decision on the Electricity Balancing Significant Code Review, which lays down the reforms Ofgem considers necessary to improve efficiency in electricity balancing arrangements and security of supply. These arrangements are designed to incentivise generators and suppliers to balance positions and meet demand when the system is tight. To give effect to these reforms, Ofgem directed National Grid Electricity Transmission to propose modifications to the Balancing and Settlement Code. Ofgem stressed the importance of implementing these reforms in a timely manner. (www.ofgem.gov.uk, 15 May 2014).

15. *Ofgem proposal to fine E.ON Energy Solutions for non-compliance with licence obligations* – Ofgem has published a notice proposing to fine E.ON Energy Solutions Limited ("E.ON") for alleged breaches of its marketing obligations under certain provisions of its electricity and gas supply licences. Ofgem found that E.ON had failed to train and monitor sales staff properly, resulting in mis-selling over a three-and-a-half year period. It also found failures in E.ON's management arrangements to ensure compliance. Ofgem proposes to impose a nominal fine of £1 because E.ON has agreed to compensate affected customers and to implement a £12 million package including automatic payments to vulnerable customers and a sales compensation fund with dedicated hotline. This will constitute the biggest supplier payout to consumers to date. Ofgem further noted that E.ON had co-operated with the investigation and has also agreed to make changes to its processes to ensure compliance with the licence conditions. (www.ofgem.gov.uk, 16 May 2014).

16. *Ofgem announces intention to fine npower for a breach of reporting requirements* – Under the Renewables Obligation and Feed-in Tariff Scheme, UK electricity suppliers must source a proportion of the electricity from renewable sources and demonstrate compliance with this obligation by fulfilling reporting requirements at the end of each period. The latter entails reporting the amount of electricity supplied to customers in Great Britain. Ofgem intends to fine npower Limited (and some of its subsidiaries) £125,000 for a breach of these reporting requirements. Npower admitted underreporting the amount of electricity supplied to customers in Great Britain between 2010-2011 and 2011-2012, which caused harm to other market players. Npower has since taken action to address any market impact from its breach and made changes to internal operations to mitigate the risk.
of this error occurring again in the future. Ofgem seeks views on its intention to fine npower by 16 June 2014. (www.ofgem.gov.uk, 19 May 2014).

17. **Ofgem publishes statutory notice to modify RIIO-ED1 licence** – After consulting on the RIIO-ED1 licence modifications to implement the RIIO-ED1 strategy and decisions on 28 March 2014, Ofgem published two statutory notices to make these modifications. The first notice makes modifications to the standard licence conditions (“SLCs”) of the electricity distribution licences. The second notice makes modifications to the Charge Restriction Conditions (i.e. special conditions) held by licensees owned by Western Power Distribution plc. These modifications constitute the final stage of the fast-track price control procedure for Western Power Distribution. (www.ofgem.gov.uk, 21 May 2014).

18. **Ofgem publishes notice on decision to fine British Gas for breach of obligations under electricity and gas supply licences** – Ofgem published a notice which confirms a £800,000 fine on British Gas for non-compliance with two Standard Conditions of its electricity and gas supply licences. The breach related to non-domestic customer transfer blocking and renewals. The provisions of the licences in question limit British Gas’ ability to block non-domestic customers from switching and provide protection to micro-businesses. Ofgem found that 30,000 invalid objections to switching were made between November 2007 and February 2012 at non-domestic sites and between January 2010 and May 2011, British Gas automatically renewed supply to micro-business customers on fixed-term contracts despite receiving termination notices. There were also deficiencies in British Gas’ internal systems and procedures. The fine was lower than it would otherwise have been due to British Gas’ willingness to (i) admit its breaches, (ii) settle and implement measures of redress and (iii) contribute £3.2 million into an Energy Efficiency Fund for the benefit of micro-businesses. (www.ofgem.gov.uk, 10 April 2014).

**COMMUNICATIONS**

19. **Ofcom publishes final determination on Level 3 and BT dispute** – The Office of Communications ("Ofcom") published its final determination regarding the dispute between Level 3 Communications ("Level 3") and British Telecommunications ("BT") regarding BT’s charges for partial private circuits ("PPCs"). Ofcom has confirmed the provisional findings consulted on in March and April of this year that while BT did not overcharge Level 3 in respect of local ends, it had overcharged for PPCs. Ofcom has ordered BT to refund Level 3 the full amount of the overcharge plus the interest. Local ends are links between BT’s local serving exchange and the end users premises. PPCs are interconnection products that communication providers use in their provision of leased lines to business customers. Level 3 claimed that BT had overcharged it for a number of Point of Handover services, which is a link connecting a communication provider’s network to BT’s. Charges levied by BT must be cost-oriented, in accordance with Significant Market Power ("SMP") Condition G3.1. The overcharging is alleged to have occurred between October 2009 and 30 September 2011. (http://stakeholders.ofcom.org), 19 May 2014).

20. **Ofcom publishes final determination of dispute between Gamma and BT** – Ofcom has published the final determination regarding a dispute between Gamma Telecom Holdings Limited ("Gamma") and British Telecommunications plc ("BT") regarding a dispute brought by Gamma against BT concerning BT’s historic charges from 1 October 2009 to 30 September 2013 for Interconnection Extension Circuits ("IEC’s"). The final determination confirmed Ofcom’s findings from the provisional determination issued on 25 March 2014 and Ofcom’s analysis of BT’s IEC Fixed Rental Charge. Ofcom concluded that they were not reasonably satisfied that BT had charged more than the distributed stand alone cost (the appropriate cost benchmark) for BT’s historic charges. The results of the provisional determination found the IEC Fixed Rental Charge exceeded the distributed stand alone
cost by only 0.74%. This means that the analysis did not find that BT had charged over the distributed stand alone cost or that Gamma had been subject to overcharging by BT. BT has therefore not breached the SMP Condition AAA3 that its charges be cost-oriented and in line with the appropriate cost benchmark. (http://stakeholders.ofcom.org, 23 May 2014)

RAIL

21. **ORR announces measures to subject Network Rail to more public scrutiny during the new control period** – The Office of Rail Regulation (“**ORR**”) has announced a range of measures that will be introduced to ensure that Network Rail is subject to more public scrutiny in relation to its investment and performance plans. During the new control period (2014 - 2019 or CP5) Network Rail will be required to report more openly on progress in delivering its plans. For the first time, it will publish disaggregated information on key aspects of asset management, route and train operator performance statistics, and detailed plans to improve rail network performance. (http://orr.gov.uk, 13 May 2014).

Consultations

22. **Ofcom consults on review of Metering and Billing Direction** (http://stakeholders.ofcom.org.uk, 23 May 2014)

23. **Ofcom consults on proposal to apply the electronic communications code to TIBUS** (http://stakeholders.ofcom.org.uk, 23 May 2014)

24. **Ofgem consults on proposed modifications to NGET transmission licence** (www.ofgem.gov.uk, 12 May 2014)

25. **Ofgem consults on the National Grid’s proposal to modify charging methodology in relation to Advanced Reservation Capacity Agreements** (http://email.practicallaw.com, 12 May 2014)


27. **Ofgem consults on proposal to roll out “cap and floor” mechanism to electricity interconnection projects** (www.ofgem.gov.uk, 23 May 2014)

28. **Ofgem publishes open letter updating on the regulation of transmission connecting non-GB generation to GB transmission** (www.ofgem.gov.uk, 23 May 2014)

Publications


30. **Ofcom publishes draft statement on review of fixed access markets** (http://stakeholders.ofcom.org.uk/, 20 May 2014)